



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/955,671	9/19/01	Reddy	

Sail Katta Reddy
1512 N. Mattis Avenue
Champaign, IL 61821

EXAMINER

Yeung

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1761

7

DATE MAILED: 5/5/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

7

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

This application is abandoned in view of:

☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on September 27, 2002.

☐ A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.

☐ A proposed response was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection.

(A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC).

☒ No response has been received.

☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance.

☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) was received on _____.

☐ The submitted issue fee of \$_____ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____.

☐ The issue fee has not been received.

☐ Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of _____) were received on _____.

☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed _____ are not acceptable.

☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.

☐ The express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on _____.

☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a) upon the filing of a continuing application.

☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

☐ The reason(s) below:

**GEORGE C. YEUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**



UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Mailed: May 5, 2003

SAIL KATTA REDDY
1512 N. MATTIS AVENUE
CHAMPAIGN, IL 61821

Dear Mr. Reddy,

Please note the enclosed Notice of Abandonment.

A review of the application record in application 09/955,671 shows that a Requirement for Restriction was mailed on September 27, 2002 to the following address:

1010 N. Division Street
Urban, IL 61801

This correspondence, however, was returned to the USPTO with stamps on the envelop indicating that the addressee, Sail Katta Reddy, was not at this address. According to the most recent correspondence in the application file, the current address is

1512 N. Mattis Avenue
Champaign, IL 61821


Thus, this communication is being mailed to the Champaign, IL address.

The Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, MPEP 601.03, specifies that "Where an attorney or agent of record (or applicant, if he or she is prosecuting the application pro se) changes his or her correspondence address, he or she is responsible for promptly notifying the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the new correspondence address (including ZIP Code)." Here, applicant has not provided any notification that the correspondence address has changed. However, the Requirement for Restriction of September 27, 2002 was returned to the USPTO as being undeliverable.

As the Requirement for Restriction was mailed to the proper address, and applicant did not respond to that correspondence, the application is now abandoned.

If applicant wishes to revive this application, a petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137 must be received. This petition must include the required reply to the Requirement for Restriction originally mailed on September 27, 2002 (copy enclosed) and the proper fee. Assistance in preparing this petition can be obtained by calling the

Office of Petitions at 703-305-9282. Forms for petitioning for revival of an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(a) and (b) are enclosed. Also, applicant is required to provide a current correspondence address.


Christine Skane Tierney
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 1700
703-308-2526

Enclosure: Notice of Abandonment
Office action originally mailed on 9/27/02
Form for Petition for Revival under 37 CFR 1.137(a)
Form for Petition for Revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b)